

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/751,264	01/02/2004	Louise E. Moser	ETE5342.11A2 7448		
8156 JOHN P. O'B <i>A</i>	7590 09/24/2007 NION	EXAMINER			
· ·	RITCHEY LLP	BAROT, BHARAT			
400 CAPITOL SACRAMENT	MALL SUITE 1550	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SACKAMEN	10, CA 93614		2155		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

					mil			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/751,264		MOSER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Bharat N. Ba		2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (- If NO period - Failure to Any reply	VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS .136(a). In no event d will apply and will e te, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 .</u>	January 2004.						
, ——	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
•	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ Cla 4a) 5)⊠ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)⊠ Cla	4) Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) <u>12-43</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>5-11</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	Papers							
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document.	nts have been nts have been iority documen au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicat ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
<i>'</i> —	References Cited (PTO-892)		I) Interview Summary	· ·				
3) Information	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal I Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/751,264

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-43 presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Glendening et al (U.S. Patent No. 7,243,132). Glendening's patent meets all the limitations for claims 1-4 recited in the claimed invention.
- 4. As to claim 1, Glendening et al teach a method of maintaining clock consistency in a fault-tolerant distributed system for a group of replicas having physical hardware clocks (see abstract; and figures 1-4), comprising: executing a time service handler for accessing a physical hardware clock; establishing a single consistent group clock value within the time service handler for a group of replicas to be used in place of the physical hardware clock value; and returning from the time service handler with the group clock value (figures 7-13; and columns 11-12).

Application/Control Number: 10/751,264

Art Unit: 2155

- 5. As to claim 2, Glendening et al teach that the replicas in the group receive a single consistent group clock value while at least one of the replicas continues to operate (figures 11-13; and column 12 lines 40-65).
- 6. As to claims 3-4, Glendening et al teach that in response to successive executions of the time service handler by the replicas in the group for accessing the physical hardware clocks, the consistent group clock values returned to the replicas in the group are monotonically increasing; and the increment, skew, and drift of the consistent group clock value from one reading to the next is bounded (figures 14-23; and column 12 line 66 to column 13 line 34).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 12-43 are allowed over the prior art of record and claims 5-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The examiner has found that the prior art of record does not teach or suggest or render obvious the limitations that the time service handler computes and stores a clock offset value as the difference between the physical hardware clock value and the most recent determination of the consistent group clock value, the clock offset value is updated in response to establishing a consistent group clock value; and sending a clock synchronization message to replicas in the group of replicas, which proposes the local logical clock value as a consistent group clock value, when no other clock synchronization messages for the calling thread have been received, extracting

Application/Control Number: 10/751,264 Page 4

Art Unit: 2155

the local clock value from a received clock synchronization message as a consistent group clock value; and updating the clock offset value for the given replica to the value of the consistent group clock value less the physical clock value.

Additional References

8. The examiner as of general interest cites the following references.

a. Newcombe et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,349,325.

b. Bedard et al, U.S. Patent No. 4,683,570.

Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Bharat Barot** whose Telephone Number is **(571) 272-3979**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM. Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number **(571) 273-8300**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, <u>Saleh Najjar</u>, can be reached at (571) 272-4006.

Patent Examiner Bharat Barot

Art Unit 2155

September 07, 2007

Bharat Barot
BHARAT BAROT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/751,264

Art Unit: 2155